Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/566,730	TANAKA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Angela C. Scott	1796	

	Angela C. Scott	1796			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 08 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	FILED <u>08 October 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (t MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 766.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date to have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the ste forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office error may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further con (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett 	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11		otou diamio.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).		
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) proved how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-7</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	before or on the date of filing a No sufficient reasons why the affidavi	tice of Appeal will <u>not</u> t or other evidence is	be entered necessary and		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
See attached response to arguments					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
13. Other:					

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796

/Mark Eashoo/

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The subject matter of the proposed amendment is in front of the examiner for the first time and therefore would require further search and consideration.